June 11, 1992

5954 Bent Willow Drive
Alexandria, VA 22310-1797
703-313-9615

Mr. Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Road
Frederick, MD 21702

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

I believe that Jim Moore and I have finally concluded our interactions regarding ***Conspiracy of One***. As I promised to you in my letter of March 20, I am enclosing a copy of Moore's second response of March 26 (his reply to my letters to him dated 6/14/91, 2/19/92 and 3/18/92), as well as my final letter to him of April 3, 1992. In his second letter, Moore did muster some sort of response to the concerns I raised about the evidence he misrepresents in his book. His inability to provide any additional supporting evidence or insight into his position reinforces the fact that his book, like many of those he criticizes, misleads the public and falsifies history.

The epitome of Moore's incapacity to separate fact from speculation is his statement (in 1992!) on page 3 of his March 26 letter that, "I cannot understand how Phil Willis could have taken slide five at frame 202." Willis' slide 5 helps determine the timing of the first shot and Moore attempts to use it as the cornerstone to 'his' assassination scenario. Arrogantly, though, Moore chose to disregard irrefutable fact and placed Willis' slide "before frame 190" [page 197 of his book]. He, of course, is not alone in this kind of irrational behavior.

The simple and elegant analysis of all the mutually corroborating evidence presented by Olsen and Turner in the ***Journal of Forensic Sciences*** is an overwhelmingly compelling testimony that the first crack of rifle fire occurred around Z-190 to Z-195 (and that the shot probably hit the president). The fact that both the Warren Commission and the House Select Committee on Assassinations ignored the best evidence available to them, and officially concluded that the first shot occurred significantly later and earlier, respectively, than it actually did, is a sad testament to the effectiveness of these official investigations.

Thanks again for your interest in my thoughts. I enjoy occasionally finding your voice of reason among all the current foolishness that is being published, written in the press, and shown in the media. Stone's efforts have, thus far, generated much more heat than light on the situation.

Best Regards,

Bob Astheimer

Bob Astheimer 6-11-92